

APPENDIX E

Representations from Other Persons in
support of the review


FAO: Molly Shlells, NHDC, Poplars Farm, Premises Licence Review, Representation Letter

This letter provides additional material to back up the original request that has been made, for a review of the Premises licence held at Poplars Farm.

This representation is aimed at a full revoke of the licence, substantiated by the support of statements from; The Police Service; The Fire Service; Sandon Parish Council; The District Councillor for Sandon and fellow councillors from past hearings, who all believe or have indicated that the location of Poplars farm is totally unacceptable for an event of this kind. It covers the 4 key licensing objectives: _

- Prevention of public nuisance
- Public Safety
- Prevention of crime and disorder
- Protection of children from harm

IT IS AN OFFENCE, UNDER SECTION 158 OF THE LICENSING ACT 2003, TO MAKE A FALSE STATEMENT IN OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS APPLICATION. THOSE WHO MAKE A FALSE STATEMENT MAY BE LIABLE ON SUMMARY CONVICTION TO A FINE OF ANY AMOUNT, AND is applicable to all documentation supplied as part of a premises licence, including letters of representation



The licence holder has also been reminded of his position by the Post event SAG committee that met on 30 August 2018. They requested him to take into 8 considerations to improve the event. These will be discussed in more detail later in this representation.

The licence holder in a letter to me stated "*I also have to work with stringent conditions*". He either chooses to ignore them totally, or fails to comply.

e.g. The post event SAG stated that NHDC was not notified by the licence holder of any communications to residents; there was no Waste Management process; there was no taxi collection point; noise consultants failed to comply with complaints as imposed by NHDC councillors' conditions; the DSP was not on site all the time; drug amnesty bins, lighting, security teams; fencing were all inadequate.

None of the guarantees that were promised in a letter from the licence holder in January 2018, were ever delivered, e.g. security fencing; a quiet egress; mini buses; security managed locally; a managed blue route, waste management teams.

The Licence holder stated [redacted] "*I have no interest in upsetting you or disrupting your life. It will be only temporary if I do*", effectively accepting the event will not be efficiently managed.

He states that the event is family orientated and offers something for all ages and across music styles. Considering only 24 teenagers attended the 2018 event this statement is subject to inquiry. The police have stated "*This type of music would not normally be associated as family orientated. This raises concerns over the type of event that is in fact planned*". The 2019 event is again being advertised as family orientated, but the published acts clearly contradict this.

Mr Maskell is deceitful when he has stated at the post event SAG he had tried to mitigate any and all objections at every opportunity. Apart from holding an intimidating meeting at the village hall, where the local residents objecting to the event were received with animosity by Mr Maskell, his event supporters and staff, he has failed to liaise in any other form.

However, there was an attempt by residents to assist the Licence Holder in his management and the conduct of the event. These attempts to exchange ideas have however been totally ignored and disregarded.

Myself and 2 other residents contacted the Licence holder in February 2018 and arranged to meet in order to discuss how changes to event conditions would aid both parties and conclude to an amicable situation. The meeting took place and of the 20 or so conditions proposed to the licence holder, none were delivered or amended.

Some of those conditions, which are all reasonable and practicable, are presented again to this Licence review.

These requests for change are to ensure the event is appropriately managed, is appropriately manageable, and is effective for all associated parties.


They are also supported by the police authorities confirming in a recent letter, "the suggested amendments you make below do seem reasonable and consistent"

If the NHDC sub-committee for Licensing and Appeals sees fit to allow the continuation of this licence, we request reasonable conditions as follows with:-

- Amendments to existing conditions
- Annulments of existing conditions
- To impose further conditions
- To impose immediate suspension of the licence, in order to comply with the condition imposed. Any suspensions will be being appropriate and proportionate to promote the licensing objective.

BUT...The Licensing Act and Statutory Guidance also makes it very clear that conditions are to be used sparingly and not extensively. If a premises requires extensive conditions in order to meet the licensing objectives then that is indicative that it is not suitable for such a licence.....The only solution is in fact to revoke the licence as primary requested.

* References are made to the following in order to give a detailed history and background to the reasons why this review has been requested, it is a culmination of a series of events:-



4. The 2018 event, the subsequent public nuisance, crime and disorder, public safety issues and the placing of children to harm.

1. Addition. Access to and from the event

"The Licence holder must ensure that a 2 way vehicle access is provided to the event location, the music area, or two totally separate access routes to allow passage of vehicles in and out of the location without any delay.

Vehicle access is not permitted to cross public footpaths or common land, except where planning has been permitted, Highways Act 1988

These access routes must be in place prior to the event taking place, they must approved by the police and fire services to be of an acceptable arrangement for vehicle access."

Why:

- The police authorities advised that *"the single way access had not worked during egress. It was managed by some in some out. This is the biggest issue for the police"*.
- It was the cause of the public nuisance during egress, and attributed to Crime and disorder. The police stated *"there was definitely potential for an accident...including families with children"*
- The post event SAG has advised the Licence holder to consider *"improved access and egress to and from the site"*. It should be noted that the use of a dedicated collection point will not mitigate the situation. Vehicles will still only be able to travel in one direction at a time, unless extensive ground works are carried out. e.g. Buildings are demolished
- The fire service *"were also aware of the issues of access to the site"*. Proof of a Fire service vehicle having to reverse out of the location to allow an exiting vehicle to pass is below. A second lost could be a life lost
- NHDC reported that *"the separation of pedestrians and traffic could have been improved"*
- Just one vehicle travelling in either direction blocks the movement of the traffic coming from the opposite direction
- The blue route was NOT kept clear for the duration of the event as required as a condition. The information provided at the post event SAG by the licence holder was fabricated
- Providing temporary tracking across the common land from the highway to Poplars Farm is not permitted by both the Highways Act, and Conservation legislation
- Providing tracking across public footpaths are not permitted by the Highways Act.

Notes:

2. Amendment to P26 – The provision of security fencing along both properties bordering the event location

From: There will be provision

To: "There will be provision....., at least 7 days prior to the event taking place, to the satisfaction of the property owners and/or the responsible authorities. Without this the event cannot take place."

Why:

- The security fencing in 2018 was not put in place. The property owners had to monitor the security of their properties throughout the event, due to the risk of *public nuisance and crime and disorder*.



- It would stop event attendees urinating along boundary fences

Notes:

3. Additional Condition, connected with Security Fencing P26

"Security personnel will be provided by the licence holders on a permanent basis throughout the event and egress, along the boundaries of the properties to the east and west of the event. The event will be suspended if at any time this condition is breached

Why:

- To ensure the properties [REDACTED] are protected from any public nuisance; acts of crime and disorder; and ensure the safety and protection of children.
- There was breach of the security fencing near "The Barn" during egress of 2018. Public nuisance and Crime and disorder was only stopped by my own presence in stopping trespass, although I put myself at risk
- It should be noted that the condition P28 (security checks) was not delivered whatsoever, the breach of the security fence continued to be a concern for the remainder of the event, a further full day of activities
- The residents to the east and west of the event had to be vigilant throughout the event to ensure the safety of their property, children, and livestock. Security measures should be at the cost of the event organisers
- It would (should) stop event attendees urinating along boundary fences

Notes:

4. Additional condition, connected with P26

"Security Fencing will be provide around the Children's playground area on Roe Green, at least 3 days prior to the event, the event does not commence until this is provided"

Why:

- To ensure the protection of Children from Harm
- The evidence of drug use was found in the vicinity of this area during the 2018 event. (Which also shows the waste management operation failed to be carried out in the locality as described in the Event Management Plan)

Notes:

5. Additional Condition, connected with Security Fencing P26

Communication devices, which are always "open", will be provided by the Licence holders to the residents whose boundaries border the event throughout the event, providing direct contact with the Master Control Centre.

Why:

- These properties are the most vulnerable to the event, and throughout the 2018 event were ignored when complaints or breaches occurred. This will allow direct access to the Event Control Centre.

- It will allow the reaction to Public Nuisance, Crime and Disorder, Public Safety Incidents that occurred at local residents' properties during the 2018 event, to be reacted to faster.

Notes:

6. Additional Condition – Noise limits

Add:

"The permitted limits are":-

65db between the hours of 7:00 and 23:00, and 34db between 23:00 and 7:00 (30db being the normal local ambience)

Add:

The low level frequency must be controlled by the licence holder to ensure it never breaches these permitted maximum limits. If these breaches are made at any time then the event is immediately stopped and the event is closed (Low level frequency is related to drum and bass)"

Why:

- The present noise limits are only controlled through the Noise Management Plan, and are not a clear condition of the delivery of the Licence. It is subject to the judgments/opinions of the NHDC's Noise Officer, not an official statement. i.e. there should be no confusion over the guidelines stated, year on year
- The police as far back as 2017 stated "music being played in the early hours without noise restrictions will [potentially cause a nuisance to residents in the area". They were not wrong in their observations!
- These need to be clearly defined to the permitted limits and made a condition of the licence as recommended by many other local authorities
- It ensures there is no ambiguity
- NHDC has advised that "*noise disturbance could still be constituted as a public nuisance, without breaching the conditioned sound levels*". *The noise limits SHOULD NOT just be related to that generated by the events' music output*

Notes:

7. Additional Condition – Noise Recording management

Add:

"The ongoing noise monitoring locations, Noise Sensitive Locations (NSL's), will be agreed with the residents of Roe Green prior to the event taking place, and be situated at locations which will be most affected by noise from the event. These will be dependent on how the stages and the related speaker output are aligned. These locations will be liable to change during the event if environment conditions alter the direction of music output. The residents' requests are final.

The noise monitoring will continue after the music stops, and all traffic and people have dispersed

There will be an additional monitoring location set up at the exit immediately outside the event location

on the highway to monitor and record the period of egress of the event at the closure

The noise monitoring must supply digital recorded reports of the actual recordings taken." (not hand written or tabled statistics as these can be open to manipulation)

Why:

- The NSL's chosen for the 2018 event provided ineffective monitoring of the actual disturbance generated by the music throughout the event. The NSL's were event biased

- The present Noise monitoring is within the Noise Management Plan and is not a condition of the delivery of the event. It provides no stipulation of what must be supplied by the event to ensure an effective managed event. It is subjective to representation held within the NMP
- The NMP should not be attributed solely to the NHDC Noise Officer
- The recordings taken at the 2018 cannot be evidenced by digital printed reports. It is subject to falsification
- The egress post 23:00 "caused the police the most concern in 2018"

Notes:

8. Additional Condition – Noise Monitoring

Add:

"If there are two consecutive periods during the event where the noise monitoring illustrates the Noise Limits have been breached, the event will be stopped and closed down with immediate effect;
and /or

If there are three or more periods throughout the event where the noise monitoring reports the Noise Limits have been breached, the event will be stopped with immediate effect and closed down;
and

For the final 90 minutes of the event, if the Noise limits are breached whatsoever, the event will be stopped with immediate effect and closed down.

Why:

- This ensures that the noise monitoring is carried out to the highest degree possible. The licence holder will need to be due diligent on the event's production at all times
- It provides some reassurance that noise related public nuisance can be monitored, managed effectively, [REDACTED]
- This ensures the final hours of the event where the music is normally ramped up is kept to the noise limits as laid out by the licence conditions

Notes:

9. Amendment to P1 – Number of event days

From: A 2 day event

To: A 1 day event

Why:

- The licence holder is planning only a 1 day event for 2019. [REDACTED]
- The 2019 advertising clearly shows that the family oriented day held previously has been abandoned by the licence holder
- The Family Orientated day in 2018 had approximately just 12 teenagers attend based on the figures provided by the Licence holder., [REDACTED].
- The number of days that [REDACTED] residents would be subject to public nuisance; public safety; possibly having children at harm; and crime and disorder as in 2018 would be halved. The number of reported police incidents for the locality in 2018 during the event period went up by 500%!

- The police acknowledged *"these (public nuisance) type of issues are expected for this type of event" and "this type of event in particular faced higher levels of scrutiny"*
- The police have stated that *"this type of music would not normally be associated as family orientated", "the type of music being played are drum and base, garage and anthems"*. These are not associated as music that is played during day time hours

Notes:

10. Additional condition (connected with P1) - Camping. Previously for 2018, G1

Impose: No overnight camping, including glamping, of any kind or association, including staff and band members at the event.

Why: the initial condition for 2018 imposed this condition but not for prevailing years

[REDACTED]

The 2019 event has a voluntary "no camping" condition imposed by the licence holder. [REDACTED]

- The noise limit imposed by NHDC post 23:00 would easily be broken due to the event's proximity to residential properties and *cause a public nuisance*. The licence holder would appear to accept this as a problem and has stated in a recently circulated letter the attempt to *"give respite to the residents!"*

Notes:

11. Amendment to P9 - Capacity

From: A total of 2999, with no more than 2000 day tickets to be sold for any event day

To: No more than a total of 1600 tickets/attendees to be available, including children under 12, free tickets, all staff, band members, food stall owners land owners and security staff on site

Why:

- The police have stated that the site and infrastructure of the event is stretched at a 2000 capacity, so for public safety this needs to be decreased to a manageable and safe capacity
- The number of people when the event closes needs to be manageable to ensure the anti-social and noise issues are kept to a minimum and to avoid the impact of *public nuisance; and crime and disorder; threat to the protection of children* from harm that occurred during the 2018 egress.
- The number needs to be decreased to allow a creditable period for the egress to be successfully completed. 1- 1 ½ hours not 2 ½ hours that it took in 2018. For 2 ½ hours after the event closed, the residents suffered incidents including abuse and damage to properties
- The police noted that *"there was definitely the potential of an accident for families and children"*

During the event closure. The police have stated that *"regardless of when the event finished, this was always going to be a problem"*. The number of incidents and the potential of an accident should be curtailed by lowering the numbers allowed, along with the timings of the event allowed. (See next amendment)

Notes:

12. Amendment to the Notice of Licence Approval (Timings)

From: 12:00 – 00:30

To: 12:00 –22:00

Why:

- The locality of the event causes a problem for those leaving the event who do not have their own transport.
- 2000 people attended the 2018 event on the Saturday
The 2018 event had only 140 vehicles parked on the Saturday.
At 2.3 people per car this equates to 320 people, not the 80%, or 1280 people as stated by the Licence holder. A margin of error by 1000 people or 60%!
Thus 1680 people were seeking alternative transport at the close of the event
To disperse this crowd would take 168 x 10 seater mini bus trips or
Nearly 500 taxi trips or
A mixture of both
- The event organisers do not have vehicles nor means to arrange this amount of transportation required
- The event location cannot cope with more than 2 vehicles accessing the event, let alone 3-500
- The 2018 event took over 3 hours to be cleared of all attendees
- The 2018 egress caused numerous Public Nuisance through noise, and crime and disorder with a number of incidents reported to the police

Please note the permitted noise that the locality/residents should be subject to is that of the normal noise ambience, which as reported by the event's own Noise Management Report recordings is rarely above 30db post 23:00 or as dictated by NHDC in year 2018 is no more than 45db. If they are over this limit then a *Public Nuisance* prevails as acknowledged by NHDC. "Noise disturbance can be constituted as a public nuisance"

Please note a soft closure has been suggested for 2019. This could only work if the event is closed at 22:00 to avoid the egress incidents suffered in 2018.

The police have stated "*no matter when the event closed there was always going to be a problem, by finishing earlier by the organisers it was a good idea to seek to try to ease the noise issues.*" Thus it was acknowledged by both the police and the organisers an early closure was best for all parties. The 2019 event seeks a closure of 00:30, actually worsening the situation!

- The number of attendees profile as supplied in a letter by the licence holders clearly show that the majority of the attendees will all be staying until the end.

The Licence holder is erroneous by stating in a letter that 50% of families with children left at 21:30. The analysis of his figures confirms this misrepresentation

See below:-

This analysis shows that approximately 12 children + 24 adults (2 adults per child), a total of 36 people, would have left at 21:30.

This leaves 1964 people inside the event, based on a maximum 2000 capacity

Based on 1600 tickets being sold and 400 staff, bands and children under 13, (numbers taken from the EMP)

2018 ticketing profile. Figures provided by the Licence Holder

Age	%	Tickets
13-17	1 ½	24
17-24	9	144
24-35	28	448
35-45	34	544
45-55	20	290
55-65	5	80
65+	3	48

These numbers actually confirm the police concerns of the type of event that is being run

The police authority states:-

"The type of music being played accordingly to the site plan are, drum, base, garage and anthems. This type of music would not normally be associated as family orientated. This raises concerns over the type of event that is in fact planned"

Notes:

13. Addition to P9- Ticketing

All attendees including under 12 children, staff and band members must have tickets prior to the event. Any attempts to enter the event location without a valid ticket will be denied and turned away. No tickets of any kind to be sold or provided on the day

No free tickets to be distributed without an associated name being provided which on entry must be disclosed for validation

Why:

- To control the overall numbers of the event and ensure capacity limits are not broken. (All ticketed venues of repute take this approach)
- It can be co-ordinated with the licence condition where all staff, band members, food stall holders must be named 28 days prior to the event
- The Licence holders would be pre warned of numbers and ensure there are adequate and professionally certified (CBT) personnel to deal with children at all times.
- To ensure the protection and safety of children at all times of the event

- To assess requirements for the “kids zone” to avoid over capacity, inadequate staffing and medical facilities

Notes:

14. Addition to P5 – Event Infrastructure

The Kids zone must be located in an area independent of the main music arenas.

Why:

- For safety, Children should not be close to noise being generated at over 90db (World Health Organisation guidelines)
- Children should not be located close to areas selling alcohol
- The police admitted that there was personal usage of drugs in the music event area. Children should not be subjected to this
- There is a lake in close proximity in the music arena
- NB. The Licence holder is planning for 2019 to have the Kids zone in the music arena

Notes:

15. Amendment to P4 (I) – Build and deconstruct

From: Build and Breakdown plan to be included in the EMP

To: [REDACTED]. There will be NO blockages of the highway or residents driveways at any time.

Deliveries and collections will only be made 2 days prior (except for residential fencing) and 2 days after the event.

The build and deconstruct will be carried in accordance to the “Normal” noise ambience of the location. Deliveries will be prosecuted on the proof evidence being provided breaking this condition

Why:

- To limit the amount of disruption and noise to the local vicinity
- It is dangerous for Public Safety to block the Highway
- It causes a Public Nuisance
- The 2018 event allowed deliveries to be made on the Highway causing blockages and risk to public safety. Photographic evidence is available

Notes:

16. Amendment to F4(j)

From: Details shall be provided.....

To: All cabling will be subject to the Health and Safety Legislation

No electrical cabling will be placed on common ground where the public have free access, e.g. Roe Green; public footpaths

The event will not be allowed to commence before any cables that are a danger and do not comply with Health and Safety regulations are removed.

Why:

- It is dangerous for Public Safety, and the Protection of Children from harm to have poorly Installed electrical cables
- It is a Crime to place unprotected cables In a public space
- The 2018 event chose to ignore health and safety regulations and laid cables across public accessed spaces on Roe Green
- The 2018 event breached this condition. Public Safety was at risk and children were not protected from harm
- The post event SAG fails to report this major breach and states they were happy with the event's H&S officer. A failure of the SAG and NHDC Environmental department itself?

Notes:

17. Additional Condition – Signage

No signage is allowed to be placed on the Common land outside of the event location, Roe Green, except for Highway signage that has approved dispensation from HCC

Why:

- It impedes the visibility of traffic on the highway, and traffic exiting residential driveways in the locality, Public safety

Notes:

18. Amendment. Permitted calendar date

[Redacted text block containing multiple lines of blacked-out content]

Notes:

19. Additional clause, connected with Wildlife -

[Redacted text block]

Notes:

20. Addition. – Payment of Police Authority services

"The licence holder will pay for all services supplied by the police during the length of the event including the period of egress until it has been 100% cleared and any risk to the public has discontinued

Why:

- *The Fire Service has a condition that states their services are chargeable.*
- The Police Authorities have stated that there was an usually high police presence
- The NHDC council members questioned the Licence holders about Police services being paid, the Licence holder responded that they felt their own security teams would be adequate.
- The post event SAG states as a consideration that the security staff and stewards should be reviewed. i.e. They were not adequate

[Redacted text block]

Notes:

21. Additional. Noise and Crowd Control during egress

Impose: The licence holder will monitor noise limits during the egress of the event after the event has closed and until the last attendee has departed.

Fixtures, fencing and security will be in place to ensure that crowds (no more than 10 people) do not build up, immediately outside of the event, nor within a minimum of 250 metres of any residential property of Roe Green in order to achieve Public Safety and prevent Public disturbance

Why:

- NHDC advised that "*noise disturbance can still be constituted as a public nuisance, without breaching the conditioned sound level*"

- The police authorities had concerns over the egress of the event and received many calls from residents regarding noise complaints, especially during the event egress. Please note. The event's complaints line was closed down at 23:00. The residents had no option other than phone the police)
- The police state – *"The anti-social incidents including noise were caused by people hanging around outside the event"*
- Serious incidents were reported to the police, of abuse and damage to residents' properties
- The event's own post Event Noise Management Report provides recordings OVER the permitted levels

Notes:

22. Additional. Taxi Rank/ Mini bus pickup point

"The Licence holder shall ensure a managed taxi and Mini bus point is established, inside the event area, at least 250 metres away from the nearest residential property.

No traffic will be allowed to build up on the highway. The Highway will be managed by traffic control and security staff; all vehicles will be moved on and no vehicle including taxis will be allowed to park on Roe Green. Or in the locality of 300 metres of the entrance/exit.

No event attendees shall be allowed to leave the event to be picked up outside the event location"

Event attendees will not be allowed to congregate within 250 metres of any residential property

Why:

- The licence holder advertised a Taxi / Mini bus pickup point for the 2018 event but did not manage it accordingly as it was not subject to a condition. The failure to deliver was a direct cause of the 4 licence objectives being broken
- The post event SAG has advised as a consideration that a dedicated taxi/mini bus location is set up to mitigate the issues during egress. It needs to be made a subject of a condition to ensure it is delivered, but also ensure that the problem is not moved to a location that will be just 20 metres from the nearest residential property.
- Noise generated by the attendees leaving will be public nuisance

Notes:

23. Addition to N2 (ix) – Complaints line

From:.....supply

To: The Events Complaints line must be functioning 48 hours prior to , during and after the event to cover the build, event and break days. It must be manned and answered at all times during this period.

The incidents reported must be actioned within 15 minutes of receipt. Failure to do so allows the caller to call a stop on the event

Why:

- The 2018 event provided an ineffective, non-working process
- The residents were left to phone the Police 101 line for support
- Resident's complaints were ignored

Notes:

24. Addition to N5 – Waste management

From:....The licence holder will ensure that the measures agreed are fully implemented.

To:The Waste Management Plan must be executed within 3 days of the event closing.

Failure to do so, the residents in the locality will be allowed to make alternative arrangements with the local authorities (NHDC) to ensure that effective and efficient waste management procedures are carried out, with ALL costs being borne by the Licence holder

Why:

- To date the event area and the local vicinity has NOT been cleared of all refuse generated by the 2018 event. It still causes a problem for those properties in the vicinity and the local farmers
- Refuse was not picked up and cleared on an ongoing basis as stated in the EMP
- Refuse is continually being blown onto neighbouring properties, and into ditches, some 8 months after the event
- Refuse and rubbish is a danger to wildlife in the area
- Refuse and rubbish is a danger to health, and safety
- The generation of rubbish, especially in the countryside is an offence!

Notes:

I conclude

The Premises Licence has been approved for an event to be held in a totally unsuitable location as stated by the Public Service Authorities.

The Licensing Act and Statutory Guidance also makes it very clear that conditions are to be used sparingly and not extensively. If a premises requires extensive conditions in order to meet the licensing objectives then that is indicative that it is not suitable for such a licence

.....The only solution is in fact is to revoke the licence .

Ian Dell
Clare Cottage
Roe Green
Sandon
SG90QG

Noise Meter used to take readings



Examples of the type of event that is planned, and the acts that have been booked. It is not a Family Orientated, music for all ages and types as advertised, but seeks to attract an audience who would prefer all night garage style genre. (LEX Beat is the joint event presenter with the Licence holder, Mc Kel is one of the headliner act being advertised)





Picture showing breach of security fence, and evidence of security fencing not being provided as a condition of the licence between the event and properties to the west



Evidence of Waste some 4 weeks after the event in the main music arena



Example of the Waste Management not following their "green theme" of ensuring waste was separated according to type. This skip was not moved for 6 weeks until a complaint was made to NHDC Environmental Health as it had started to attract vermin due to the food stuffs put in it. This was only 30m from paddocks and livestock in the neighbouring property.



Evidence of Fire engine attempting to enter the event location from the Highway, but unable to gain access due to vehicle coming in opposite direction from Poplars farm



Evidence of Fire engine has had to reverse back onto the Highway before gaining access




Evidence of The driveway at Poplars Farm. The access is only wide enough for 1 vehicle at a time



Evidence of Deliveries blocking the highway and driveways before 8:00 a.m.



Evidence of Drugs on the Children's playground and inside the telephone box. Both reported to the police.



Evidence of Cabling across public spaces for a light. Health and Safety electrical rules broken by splicing cables by using tape, and not using regulated connectors.





The following 3 pictures provides evidence of [REDACTED] incomplete security fencing to the property to the east of the event.



It also shows the lack of a 5 metres buffer zone from vehicles and the adjacent property. Vehicles are parked adjacent to property boundaries



Map detailing location of Poplars farm, the close proximity of local residential properties, the inadquencies of the access and single line egress difficulties, and the highway having no pathways, lighting and poor throughway in the village



Poplars farm event map, showing details of non-conformity to 2018 conditions

